



## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

09/336,091

APPLICATION NO.

06/18/99

**FILING DATE** 

VAN SNICK

L0461/7063-J

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

HM12/1004

JOHN R CAN AMSTERDAM WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS FC FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON MA 02210

**EXAMINER** 

SCHWADRON, R **ART UNIT** 

PAPER NUMBER

1644

DATE MAILED:

10/04/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/336,091

Van Snick et al.

Examiner

Group Art Unit

|--|

	Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL.			<u> </u>	
Since this application is in condition for allo in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	wance except for formal matters, prosecutions arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11:453 O.G. 212	on as to the me	erits is closed	
A shortened statutory period for response to the is longer, from the mailing date of this communapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. 37 CFR 1.136(a).	his action is set to expire month	n(s), or thirty di	ays, whichever will cause the ovisions of	
Disposition of Claims				
X Claim(s) 1, 2, 5, 7, 9, 11, 14, 16, 18, 2  Of the above, claim(s)	21, 23, 29, 33, 37, 43, 50, 57, 61, 60 in 10	d 72		
Of the above, claim(s)				
Claim(s)	is/are	withdrawn from	n consideration.	
Claim(s)		_ is/are allowed	d.	
Claim(s)		_ is/are rejected.		
X Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 9, 11, 14, 16, 18, 21,	22 20 22 27 10 50,57, 61,68 and	is/are objecte	d to.	
Application Papers	23, 29, 33, 37, 43, <b>6</b> are subject to restric	ction or election	requirement.	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's	Patant Dec. 1. D			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner	disapproved.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERT ☐ received.	IFIED copies of the priority documents have	been		
received in Application No. (Series Co	Ode/Serial Number)			
received in this national stage application	ation from the International Bureau (PCT Rule	4.7.4		
- and cobics life lefelved:		917.2(a)).		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
ttachment(s)				
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1	449, Paper No(s)			
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr				
<ul> <li>□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev</li> <li>□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-1</li> </ul>	view, PTO-948			
The state of the s	U Z			
SEE OFFICE A	ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING BACES			

Serial No. 09/336091 Art Unit 1644

•

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1,2,5,7,9,11,14 are drawn to peptides and compositions containing said peptide, classified in Class 514, subclass 2.
- II. Claims 16,18,21 are drawn to polynucleotides, classified in Class 514, subclass 44.
- III. Claim 68 is drawn to T cells, classified in Class 435, subclass 372.3.
- IV. Claim 72 is drawn to APC, classified in Class 424, subclass 93.7.
- V. Claims 37,43,50 are drawn to methods of treatment using peptides, classified in Class 514, subclass 885.
- VI. Claim 57 is drawn to methods of treatment using T cells, Class 424, subclass 534.
- VII. Claim 23 is drawn to a method of cell separation, classified in Class 435, subclass 2.
- VIII. Claim 29 is drawn to methods of detection using polypeptide binding agents, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.2.
- IX. Claim 33 is drawn to methods of detection using T cells, classified in Class 435 subclass 29.
- X. Claim 61 is drawn to methods of identifying a variant, classified in Class 530, subclass 402.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I-IV are different products. Invention I is drawn to peptides, while invention II is drawn to polynucleotides, while invention III is drawn to T cells, and invention IV is drawn to APC. These products are structurally different and have different art recognized uses. Inventions I and II are drawn to specific molecules while inventions III and IV are drawn to cells. Inventions III and IV differ in that the art recognizes that APC and T cells are two different and unique types of cells that are structurally and functionally not related. The products of inventions I-IV are recognized in the art as structurally and functionally distinct with different art recognized uses. Therefore they are novel and unobvious in view of each other and are patentably distinct.
- 4. Inventions I and V/VII/X are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using

Serial No. 09/336091 Art Unit 1644

the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as immunogen for the production of antibodies which bind said peptide.

- 5. Inventions III and VI/IX are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as immunogen for the production of antibodies which bind said T cell.
- 6. Inventions V to X are different methods that use different ingredients to achieve different goals. The inventions are drawn to methods of detection versus methods of treatment versus a method of cell separation versus methods of identifying a variant wherein the aforementioned methods use different ingredients to achieve different goals. The various methods of treatment use different products that are structurally and functionally distinct. The various methods of detection use different products that are structurally and functionally distinct. Therefore they are novel and unobvious in view of each other and are patentably distinct.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any group from Groups I-X is not required for any other group from Groups I-X and Groups I-X have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition

under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

10. The following species requirement is required if applicant elects a group that recites a specific peptide with a specific SEQ. ID.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention which are the specific peptides encoded by the peptides disclosed in the SEQ. ID. listing. These peptides are different peptides with different amino acid sequences.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 11. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 at (703) 308-4242.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Dr. Ron Schwadron whose telephone number is (703) 308-4680. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00. A message may

be left on the examiners voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ms Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

PRIMARY EXAMINED
GROUP 1808 1600

1250

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1644